appendix 1

Comments

2015 FTIP PUBLIC COMMENTS

Date	Provided By	Comment Received	Response
7/11/13	Will Garner, Placer County	Matching Funds for TART NV 5311 are in the amount of $159,930 from the Washoe RTC	Added the matching fund amount per year to the Transit Operating Assistance project
7/13/14	Rod Hogan	My input regarding the plans [FTIP,TMPO,FLAP,TTD,TRPA]...would be specific to the US 50 East Shore Corridor.	Comment Noted
7/16/14	Jason Van Havel, NDOT	Definition of Financial Constraint in NPRM text dated 06/2/2014	Added text to Financial Plan and Summary section
7/21/14	Coy Peacock, NDOT	I was reviewing the FTIP and noticed the Administrative Modification Dollar amount change at 40% and $10.0M. The agreed upon figures in Nevada are 20% and $5.0M.	Added the NV Administration Modification guidelines
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	General Comments: 1. Page 16, 8.2 Administrative Modifications: Mention that TMPO's Executive Director has delegated authority from Caltrans for approving administrative modifications for the FSTIP.	Added statement
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	General Comments: 2. Page 17, Expedited Project Selection Procedures: Instead of listing state managed programs e.g., HBP, HES; you may include a statement "Projects from all state managed programs may be moved within the four-year FSTIP period by the program managers with notification to TMPO".	Removed the individual state managed programs and added "all state managed programs".
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	Financial Summary: 1. Use the template posted at the link below when submitting final 2015 FTIP to Caltrans. http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/oftmp.htm	Replaced template with the revised template on the Caltrans website
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	Financial Summary: 2. Federal Transit: 5310 Program funding is awarded by the CTC on an annual basis. Explain the basis of programming revenue/programming in the 2015 FTIP.	Added FTA 5310 explanation
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	Financial Summary: 3.CMAQ: Revenue programmed for FY 2015/16 is not consistent with the information posted at the link below. Please clarify if the discrepancy is due to payback to another region	Clarified payback to SACOG region in FY 15/16
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	Project Listing: 1.CTIPS Id: 12000000021: Change the fund type from "STIP Advance Construction" to "STIP RIP - State Cash" since funding is for the AB3090 reimbursement.	Changed the fund type to state cash
7/30/14	Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans	Project Listing: 2.CTIPS Id: 22000000049: Provide description of the project scope, e.g. type of buses being purchased.	Provided a description of buses being purchased
8/1/14	Joanie Schmitt, TTD	TTD has received confirmation that NDOT will increase FY14 FTA 5311 grant request from $1,620,954 by $150,000. TTD has also increased FY15 FTA 5311 grant request by $150,000	Increased FTA 5311 by $150,000
8/4/14	Karen Fink, TMPO	I don't think this link is needed since the Public Participation Plan is included as an Appendix.	Removed the PPP link
8/4/14	Karen Fink, TMPO	Could we define the RTIP in the list of documents in this section? It seems like we don't really ever define it in any of our documents - this might be a good place.	Added RTIP definition
8/8/14	Steve Teshara, TTC	Page 22 – under APC, the actual number of members on the body is 20 right now, rather than 17. 17 is the compact number, but the APC membership was modified a few years ago to add seats that weren't identified in the Compact. And would actually be 21 except the air resources board seat has not been filled for many years. I didn't see in here the Meeks trail project. What's the difference between the status of the Meeks project and the status of the Dollar Creek project.	Changed the APC number to 21. Meeks project is programmed in the 2013 FTIP in FY13/14 per TTD request. Dollar Creek project is programmed in 2015 FTIP in FY14/15 per TTD request.
8/8/14	Carl Hasty, TTD	As a clarification, the FLAP language they use, they call it programming money, how does that not work in the FTIP. This is where it gets confusing in that, that is what we do have…programmed federal lands access money for these projects or at least some of these projects. I would appreciate greater clarification to what that means as opposed to what you call secure.	Requested a meeting to discuss FTIP programming